

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,269	08/05/2003	Ming Gao Yao	12553/73	7073
7590 11/28/2007 KENYON & KENYON Suite 600			EXAMINER	
			BLOUIN, MARK S	
333 W. San Carlos, Street San Jose, CA 95110-2711			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
				·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	11/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

r -	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/634,269	YAO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Mark Blouin	2627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ate, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 (</u>	October 2007				
	is action is non-final.				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	-				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-14 and 16-32</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-14, and 16-32</u> is/are rejected.					
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers	· ·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		- .			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	·				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attache	a Office Action or form P1O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have beer	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.			
		•			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	, 	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Detailed Action

Response to Amendment

• The reply filed on October 31, 2007 was applied to the following effect: Claims 1,10,19, and 27 were amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4,10-13,19-26, and 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cox et al (US 5,644,452).
- 3. Regarding Claims 1 and 10, Cox et al shows (Figs. 1-3) a system for a magnetic head arm assembly (HAA) comprising: a first component (14 including ground run 22) having a first cavity (21) to be coupled to an arm portion (16) having an arm cavity (20) via insertion of a pin element (18), independent of the first component and the arm portion, through the first cavity and the arm cavity and welded (to join (metals) by applying heat, sometimes with pressure and sometimes with an intermediate or filler metal having a high melting point) between said first component and said arm portion, wherein the surface of said pin element is directly attached to and physically connected (the pin 18 is directly attached to along a surface of said first component (flex cable 14) on ground run surface 22 by the solder, and is press fitted into hole 20 of arm portion 16 Col 3, line 37)) and a surface of said arm portion, wherein said first

Art Unit: 2627

component (14) is selected from the group consisting of a head suspension portion (54) and a flex cable portion (14).

- 4. Regarding Claims 2 and 11, Cox et al shows (Figs. 1-3) the system, wherein said head suspension portion (54) is a hard disk drive head gimbal assembly (HGA) (Col 4, line 67).
- 5. Regarding Claims 3 and 12, Cox et al shows (Figs. 1-3) the system, wherein said flex cable portion (14) is a hard disk drive (Abstract and Col 1, lines 13-19) flex cable.
- 6. Regarding Claims 4 and 13, Cox et al shows (Figs. 1-3) the system, wherein said arm (14) portion is a hard disk drive (Col 1, lines 16-20) arm.
- Regarding Claims 19 and 27, Cox et al shows (Figs. 1-3) a system for a magnetic head arm assembly (HAA) comprising: a first component (50) to be coupled to a second component (62) having an arm cavity (44) via a pin (42) independent of the first component and the second component and welding said first component to said second component, wherein said first component is selected from the group consisting of a head suspension portion (54), a flex cable portion (62), and a flex circuit portion (62), and said second component is an arm portion (52).
- 8. Regarding Claims 20 and 28, Cox et al shows (Figs. 1-3) the system, wherein said first component is a hard disk drive slider frame (Col 4, line 67; a frame supporting the slider is inherent to a HAA) and said second component is selected from a group consisting of a hard disk drive head gimbal assembly (HGA), and a hard disk drive slider (Col 4, line 67; a slider holding the transducer head is inherent to a HAA)
- 9. Regarding Claims 21 and 29, Cox et al shows (Figs. 1-3) the system of claim, wherein said head suspension portion (54) is a hard disk drive head gimbal assembly (Col 4, line 67).

Art Unit: 2627

10. Regarding Claims 22-24, and 30, Cox et al shows (Figs. 1-3) the system, wherein said flex cable portion (62) is a hard disk drive flex cable, said flex circuit portion (62) is a hard disk drive bridge flex circuit (BFC – flex circuit (62) bridges the connection), and said arm portion is a hard disk drive arm (Abstract).

11. Regarding Claim 25,26,31, and 32, Cox et al shows (Figs. 1-4) wherein said first component is coupled to said second component via a type of welding selected from the group consisting of ultrasonic welding, solder bump welding, and laser welding (Col 3, line 52).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claims 5,7-9,14, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cox et al (US 5,644,452) in view of Cubero Pitel (US 6,160,239).
- Regarding Claims 5,9,14, and 18, Cox et al shows all the features described, *supra*, but does not show a copper welding pin interference fitted into a first cavity and into the arm cavity to couple the first component to the arm portion.

Pitel shows (Figs. 4-6) a copper welding pin (21 – Col 1, line 53) interference fitted into a first cavity and into the arm cavity to couple the first component to the arm portion.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to couple the first component to the arm portion of Cox et al using the copper welding pin as taught by Cubero Pitel. The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art at the

Application/Control Number: 10/634,269

Art Unit: 2627

arm portion of Cox et al using the copper welding pin as taught by Cubero Pitel in order to secure two substrates together with art recognized equivalent methods (soldering welding, application of heat).

15. Regarding Claims 7,8,16, and 17, **Official Notice** is taken that holes and recessions can be of circular and rectangular shape, and other various shapes.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to shape a hole to be circular or rectangular. The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to shape a hole to be circular or rectangular in order to match the shape of the pin for insertion.

Response to Arguments

16. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,10,19, and 27, have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Blouin whose telephone number is 571-272-7583. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:00 to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Bill Korzuch, can be reached on 571-272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Art Unit: 2627

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Blouin

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2627

November 14, 2007

/William R. Korzuch/

SPE, Art Unit 2627